

by M. Rafic Soormally (Guest Author) on 2011-04-30 22:56:00

British Prince William, now the Duke of Cambridge, son of the late Princess Diana and Prince Charles, got married today with what is referred to as the « middle-class commoner » Catherine (Kate) Middleton in what has been a beautiful and successful wedding when the NATO occupiers regularly bombed weddings in Afghanistan killing the newly-weds and guests alike.

All planned marches against the Royal wedding of Prince William and Kate Middleton done with taxpayers' money have been banned in so-called democratic Britain. Scotland Yard carried out numerous pre-emptive arrests of what they referred to as anarchists and trouble-makers. One guillotine allegedly intended to be used to slice the heads of effigies was also seized. The security forces were also worried that the wedding might be disrupted by a terror attack in retaliation to the British occupation of Afghanistan and Iraq and the NATO bombings of weddings in Afghanistan killing the newly-weds and guests alike, especially given that Prince William reportedly served in Afghanistan with other British troops. Prince William made no secret of his desire to fight alongside British troops in Afghanistan. When it was reported that the Prince was serving in Afghanistan, to diffuse the situation, it was alleged that he was under a « secret visit » approved by the Queen. He then quickly returned home for his protection because he became a target of the Afghan freedom fighters. However, in the days preceding and during his Royal wedding, no arrests were made under the so-called anti-terror laws.

It is also no secret that the British Monarchy is very close to other privileged people equally of alleged royal blood, and many were invited to attend the wedding. Among them were the Crown Prince Alexander of Serbia, the monarchies of Romania, Spain, Sweden, Holland, Norway, Belgium and the Sultan of Brunei. But Sheikh Salman bin Hamad al-Khalifa, Crown Prince of Bahrain, declined the invitation because of unrest in his kingdom, unrest meaning that the Bahrainis want their freedom from royal corruption and terror. On the other hand, the royal invitation to the Syrian Ambassador in London was withdrawn in protest to the crackdown by the Syrian regime against Syrians who equally want freedom from tyranny.

All in all, the British government spent millions of pounds of taxpayers' money for a very beautiful and successful Royal wedding, also watched by millions of people worldwide, when the government is savagely increasing tuition fees for students, cutting back in various areas causing numerous redundancies and increasing the retirement age for both men and women. Aljazeera commented : « The British government is hoping the wedding will lift people's spirits during a period of tough austerity measures. The Conservative-led coalition is making \$135bn in spending cuts through to 2015, with hundreds of thousands of government jobs being lost. » The royal wedding is clearly being used as a political tool to subdue the people.

Although fanatics of the British Monarchy are prepared to go to any lengths to catch a glimpse of the newly-weds to be « part of history » as they say, many also want the monarchy to be abolished because it is seen as an injustice against the people and an affront to God. Anti-monarchists argue that there can be no such thing as 'royal blood' which gives people so many privileges while thousands are homeless and live in poverty. Although Prince William and Catherine Middleton are quite entitled to have a nice wedding and live happily ever after, many people argue that it is unfair that taxpayers have to pay for it and for the media to promote them as if people are obliged to worship them.

When Karl Marx explained how the feudal system would be run over in succession and replaced with the proletariat, he had the British monarchy very much in mind. But the British government astutely pushed the monarchy to one side to ensure its survival. But to all intents and purposes, the monarchy is still vibrant in British politics, still enjoying privileges which they do not deserve. Although people are led to believe that the British monarchy wields no political power, there is nothing which is further from the truth. Short of a referendum to decide on the fate of the British monarchy, should the British people start behaving like the Bahrainis who are exacting justice against the undeserved and the corrupt?

